27

28

\*\*E-filed 7/14/10\*\* 1 2 3 4 5 6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 9 TANIA GARCIA-LOPEZ, et al., No. C 09-2592 RS 10 ORDER RE PREVIOUSLY GRANTED Plaintiff, 11 CONTINUANCE v. 12 MICHAEL YATES, et al., 13 Defendants. 14 15 The Court previously granted Plaintiff's motion to continue the hearing on the cross-motions 16 for summary judgment from July 15, 2010 to July 29, 2010, by executing Plaintiff's proposed order, 17 which erroneously stated that the motion was "unopposed." The Court is aware from the body of 18 plaintiff's motion that defendants in fact opposed the motion on grounds that counsel had already 19 purchased airfare and had arranged a vacation. Although the Court strongly discourages telephonic 20 appearances at law and motion, should defense counsel wish to appear by telephone at the continued 21 hearing, the Court will accommodate a written request to do so, although it may require both sides 22 to appear by telephone in that event. 23 24 Dated: 7/14/10 25 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 26